

**2012 NC RESIDENT PREGNANCY RATES:  
FEMALES AGES 18-19 BY RACE/ETHNICITY, PERINATAL CARE REGIONS, AND COUNTY OF RESIDENCE**

	Total Pregnancies	Rate	White Non-Hispanic Pregnancies	Rate	Af. Am. Non-Hispanic Pregnancies	Rate	Other Non-Hispanic Pregnancies	Rate	Hispanic Pregnancies	Rate
<b>RESIDENCE:</b>										
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	8,931	66.9	3,875	50.3	3,355	88.2	342	65.7	1,326	100.7
<b>PCR I WESTERN</b>	618	69.7	478	65.7	54	87.9	34	118.5	51	73.5
<b>PCR II NORTHWESTERN</b>	2,125	65.6	1,137	55.5	593	73.7	69	72.9	320	108.5
<b>PCR III SOUTHWESTERN</b>	1,511	64.6	580	46.2	640	88.6	26	33.7	261	92.3
<b>PCR IV NORTHEASTERN</b>	1,562	49.3	476	27.2	743	80.5	23	15.5	310	90.3
<b>PCR V SOUTHEASTERN</b>	1,530	83.8	561	59.8	589	101.2	174	126.4	197	116.8
<b>PCR VI EASTERN</b>	1,583	84.1	643	65.8	735	103.2	16	*	186	117.6
<b>ALAMANCE</b>	145	53.4	72	37.9	42	90.7	1	*	29	99.3
<b>ALEXANDER</b>	30	85.5	24	84.2	2	*	1	*	3	*
<b>ALLEGHANY</b>	13	*	8	*	0	*	0	*	5	*
<b>ANSON</b>	26	79.0	7	*	19	*	0	*	0	*
<b>ASHE</b>	23	106.0	20	107.0	0	*	0	*	3	*
<b>AVERY</b>	13	*	11	*	0	*	0	*	2	*
<b>BEAUFORT</b>	49	101.9	25	93.6	17	*	0	*	7	*
<b>BERTIE</b>	22	103.3	3	*	18	*	0	*	1	*
<b>BLADEN</b>	35	89.3	15	*	12	*	3	*	5	*
<b>BRUNSWICK</b>	77	83.4	57	88.8	10	*	0	*	10	*
<b>BUNCOMBE</b>	160	57.7	113	50.2	29	120.8	5	*	12	*
<b>BURKE</b>	87	93.4	69	96.5	4	*	6	*	7	*
<b>CABARRUS</b>	140	68.2	76	56.8	43	108.9	1	*	20	73.3
<b>CALDWELL</b>	91	102.9	76	100.7	7	*	0	*	8	*
<b>CAMDEN</b>	6	*	3	*	3	*	0	*	0	*
<b>CARTERET</b>	40	64.8	34	67.1	3	*	1	*	2	*
<b>CASWELL</b>	18	*	11	*	5	*	0	*	2	*
<b>CATAWBA</b>	179	97.2	111	84.7	29	121.3	6	*	33	165.8
<b>CHATHAM</b>	30	53.3	14	*	4	*	1	*	10	*
<b>CHEROKEE</b>	21	80.8	19	*	1	*	0	*	1	*
<b>CHOWAN</b>	21	143.8	5	*	14	*	0	*	2	*
<b>CLAY</b>	2	*	2	*	0	*	0	*	0	*
<b>CLEVELAND</b>	124	87.9	81	82.2	40	112.0	0	*	3	*

\*TECHNICAL NOTE: RATES BASED ON SMALL NUMBERS (FEWER THAN 20 CASES) ARE UNSTABLE AND ARE NOT REPORTED

**2012 NC RESIDENT PREGNANCY RATES:  
FEMALES AGES 18-19 BY RACE/ETHNICITY, PERINATAL CARE REGIONS, AND COUNTY OF RESIDENCE**

	Total Pregnancies	Rate	White Non-Hispanic Pregnancies	Rate	Af. Am. Non-Hispanic Pregnancies	Rate	Other Non-Hispanic Pregnancies	Rate	Hispanic Pregnancies	Rate
COLUMBUS	63	104.0	29	92.7	20	85.5	6	*	8	*
CRAVEN	119	110.0	62	103.5	45	138.5	4	*	8	*
CUMBERLAND	449	103.3	142	90.9	235	111.8	15	*	53	98.7
CURRITUCK	17	*	16	*	1	*	0	*	0	*
DARE	24	85.4	20	83.0	1	*	0	*	3	*
DAVIDSON	142	82.9	101	78.4	19	*	3	*	19	*
DAVIE	31	72.4	22	64.1	3	*	0	*	6	*
DUPLIN	69	104.7	22	78.9	30	159.6	0	*	17	*
DURHAM	281	58.0	30	21.7	194	78.0	3	*	52	86.4
EDGECOMBE	86	124.3	13	*	67	147.6	0	*	6	*
FORSYTH	350	58.8	99	34.8	150	67.5	9	*	90	124.0
FRANKLIN	48	64.3	17	*	20	66.7	0	*	11	*
GASTON	196	82.5	127	79.1	41	83.3	2	*	26	115.0
GATES	16	*	4	*	11	*	0	*	1	*
GRAHAM	13	*	10	*	0	*	2	*	1	*
GRANVILLE	51	78.9	18	*	26	112.1	0	*	6	*
GREENE	20	91.7	4	*	14	*	0	*	2	*
GUILFORD	454	50.5	117	29.1	253	64.6	35	93.1	49	72.0
HALIFAX	73	107.5	18	*	53	125.9	0	*	2	*
HARNETT	126	68.9	55	50.8	47	93.6	2	*	22	117.0
HAYWOOD	52	98.5	49	102.5	2	*	1	*	0	*
HENDERSON	82	84.2	60	82.3	6	*	1	*	15	*
HERTFORD	22	50.5	1	*	20	66.7	0	*	1	*
HOKE	50	92.3	19	*	15	*	11	*	5	*
HYDE	7	*	4	*	2	*	0	*	1	*
IREDELL	134	71.1	80	58.4	43	147.8	1	*	9	*
JACKSON	41	30.9	26	23.0	1	*	11	*	3	*
JOHNSTON	157	83.6	76	67.2	36	88.2	2	*	42	131.7
JONES	5	*	4	*	1	*	0	*	0	*
LEE	72	106.2	32	101.6	18	*	1	*	21	111.7
LENOIR	62	90.0	22	77.2	31	91.2	0	*	8	*

\*TECHNICAL NOTE: RATES BASED ON SMALL NUMBERS (FEWER THAN 20 CASES) ARE UNSTABLE AND ARE NOT REPORTED

**2012 NC RESIDENT PREGNANCY RATES:  
FEMALES AGES 18-19 BY RACE/ETHNICITY, PERINATAL CARE REGIONS, AND COUNTY OF RESIDENCE**

	Total Pregnancies		White Non-Hispanic Pregnancies		Af. Am. Non-Hispanic Pregnancies		Other Non-Hispanic Pregnancies		Hispanic Pregnancies	
	Pregnancies	Rate	Pregnancies	Rate	Pregnancies	Rate	Pregnancies	Rate	Pregnancies	Rate
LINCOLN	51	66.7	44	68.3	5	*	0	*	2	*
MCDOWELL	44	100.0	40	108.7	1	*	0	*	3	*
MACON	35	117.8	29	112.0	0	*	0	*	6	*
MADISON	22	65.7	20	69.2	1	*	1	*	0	*
MARTIN	23	85.8	7	*	11	*	0	*	5	*
MECKLENBURG	767	59.8	129	24.5	437	84.2	18	*	179	98.1
MITCHELL	16	*	14	*	0	*	1	*	1	*
MONTGOMERY	40	125.0	15	*	12	*	1	*	11	*
MOORE	75	90.4	37	66.4	27	150.8	0	*	10	*
NASH	93	76.1	20	41.8	61	103.2	1	*	11	*
NEW HANOVER	154	39.5	59	19.5	69	121.1	6	*	19	*
NORTHAMPTON	30	133.3	6	*	24	148.1	0	*	0	*
ONslow	297	154.5	205	177.5	42	98.8	2	*	48	164.9
ORANGE	76	17.1	28	8.6	31	52.9	4	*	11	*
PAMLICO	10	*	7	*	1	*	1	*	1	*
PASQUOTANK	33	43.9	13	*	19	*	1	*	0	*
PENDER	37	66.1	26	67.7	5	*	0	*	5	*
PERQUIMANS	7	*	5	*	2	*	0	*	0	*
PERSON	39	86.3	16	*	21	131.3	0	*	2	*
PITT	173	38.3	46	15.9	107	78.6	3	*	16	*
POLK	10	*	6	*	2	*	1	*	1	*
RANDOLPH	134	90.3	96	86.8	14	*	3	*	20	86.2
RICHMOND	62	115.2	28	113.4	28	123.9	2	*	4	*
ROBESON	220	100.4	34	66.7	47	65.0	115	142.0	24	162.2
ROCKINGHAM	98	100.5	56	88.9	31	123.0	1	*	9	*
ROWAN	136	82.6	77	69.9	31	86.8	3	*	25	156.3
RUTHERFORD	61	83.7	49	86.1	8	*	0	*	4	*
SAMPSON	74	97.8	29	84.5	23	99.1	1	*	20	129.0
SCOTLAND	68	128.8	16	*	39	168.1	12	*	1	*
STANLY	64	85.3	41	72.6	16	*	4	*	3	*
STOKES	36	69.5	35	75.3	0	*	1	*	0	*

\*TECHNICAL NOTE: RATES BASED ON SMALL NUMBERS (FEWER THAN 20 CASES) ARE UNSTABLE AND ARE NOT REPORTED

**2012 NC RESIDENT PREGNANCY RATES:  
FEMALES AGES 18-19 BY RACE/ETHNICITY, PERINATAL CARE REGIONS, AND COUNTY OF RESIDENCE**

	Total Pregnancies	Rate	White Non-Hispanic Pregnancies	Rate	Af. Am. Non-Hispanic Pregnancies	Rate	Other Non-Hispanic Pregnancies	Rate	Hispanic Pregnancies	Rate
<b>SURRY</b>	59	77.4	45	74.4	2	*	0	*	12	*
<b>SWAIN</b>	20	117.0	8	*	1	*	11	*	0	*
<b>TRANSYLVANIA</b>	21	58.8	19	*	2	*	0	*	0	*
<b>TYRRELL</b>	5	*	2	*	3	*	0	*	0	*
<b>UNION</b>	143	49.7	75	36.6	39	88.8	1	*	28	84.8
<b>VANCE</b>	50	77.5	11	*	37	90.2	0	*	2	*
<b>WAKE</b>	574	42.2	147	18.8	295	79.1	10	*	120	84.6
<b>WARREN</b>	21	104.5	4	*	14	*	1	*	2	*
<b>WASHINGTON</b>	4	*	3	*	1	*	0	*	0	*
<b>WATAUGA</b>	20	8.2	18	*	0	*	0	*	2	*
<b>WAYNE</b>	152	98.9	49	70.2	76	125.0	2	*	25	118.5
<b>WILKES</b>	63	96.2	53	92.5	3	*	0	*	7	*
<b>WILSON</b>	98	92.1	20	45.1	57	115.2	1	*	19	*
<b>YADKIN</b>	32	83.1	19	*	2	*	0	*	11	*
<b>YANCEY</b>	18	*	14	*	0	*	0	*	4	*

\*TECHNICAL NOTE: RATES BASED ON SMALL NUMBERS (FEWER THAN 20 CASES) ARE UNSTABLE AND ARE NOT REPORTED