

**2015 NC RESIDENT FERTILITY RATES:  
FEMALES AGES 35-44 BY RACE/ETHNICITY, PERINATAL CARE REGIONS, AND COUNTY OF RESIDENCE**

	Total Births	Fertility Rate	White Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Af. Am. Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Am. Ind. Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Other Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate
<b>RESIDENCE:</b>												
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	17,533	26.4	10,166	25.2	3,258	21.0	134	15.9	1,049	38.2	2,926	42.5
<b>PCR I WESTERN</b>	1,024	22.3	829	21.1	36	17.1	12	*	18	*	129	39.8
<b>PCR II NORTHWESTERN</b>	3,312	22.4	1,985	19.8	536	19.4	10	*	199	41.0	582	40.6
<b>PCR III SOUTHWESTERN</b>	4,159	28.9	2,249	27.9	869	22.4	12	*	294	40.0	735	43.9
<b>PCR IV NORTHEASTERN</b>	5,101	32.1	2,933	32.6	956	24.8	15	*	399	37.1	798	42.3
<b>PCR V SOUTHEASTERN</b>	2,090	24.1	1,183	24.1	414	18.9	82	14.8	71	35.6	340	40.9
<b>PCR VI EASTERN</b>	1,847	23.0	987	22.1	447	17.2	3	*	68	36.3	342	46.7
<b>ALAMANCE</b>	236	23.3	142	23.0	34	16.2	1	*	6	*	53	34.8
<b>ALEXANDER</b>	43	19.5	34	17.1	1	*	0	*	3	*	5	*
<b>ALLEGHANY</b>	10	*	8	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	2	*
<b>ANSON</b>	16	*	8	*	7	*	0	*	0	*	1	*
<b>ASHE</b>	28	18.0	23	15.9	0	*	0	*	0	*	5	*
<b>AVERY</b>	23	25.6	19	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	4	*
<b>BEAUFORT</b>	68	24.0	37	20.9	16	*	0	*	1	*	14	*
<b>BERTIE</b>	11	*	6	*	4	*	0	*	1	*	0	*
<b>BLADEN</b>	41	19.3	21	18.2	9	*	1	*	1	*	9	*
<b>BRUNSWICK</b>	141	21.9	106	21.2	14	*	0	*	3	*	18	*
<b>BUNCOMBE</b>	514	30.8	430	30.9	25	23.1	0	*	10	*	49	38.7
<b>BURKE</b>	90	17.0	65	14.6	3	*	0	*	11	*	11	*
<b>CABARRUS</b>	350	23.4	194	20.2	58	19.4	2	*	36	48.2	60	37.8
<b>CALDWELL</b>	75	15.1	61	14.0	1	*	0	*	0	*	13	*
<b>CAMDEN</b>	9	*	8	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*
<b>CARTERET</b>	75	19.5	65	20.0	3	*	0	*	3	*	4	*
<b>CASWELL</b>	19	*	14	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	0	*
<b>CATAWBA</b>	231	23.4	155	20.7	16	*	0	*	20	46.6	40	37.2
<b>CHATHAM</b>	139	33.3	92	31.6	11	*	0	*	5	*	31	45.5
<b>CHEROKEE</b>	19	*	14	*	2	*	1	*	0	*	2	*
<b>CHOWAN</b>	15	*	9	*	4	*	0	*	0	*	2	*
<b>CLAY</b>	9	*	9	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	0	*
<b>CLEVELAND</b>	91	15.5	66	15.3	14	*	0	*	5	*	6	*

\*TECHNICAL NOTE: RATES BASED ON SMALL NUMBERS (FEWER THAN 20 CASES) ARE UNSTABLE AND ARE NOT REPORTED

**2015 NC RESIDENT FERTILITY RATES:  
FEMALES AGES 35-44 BY RACE/ETHNICITY, PERINATAL CARE REGIONS, AND COUNTY OF RESIDENCE**

	Total Births	Fertility Rate	White Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Af. Am. Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Am. Ind. Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Other Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate
COLUMBUS	36	11.1	20	10.0	8	*	1	*	1	*	6	*
CRAVEN	122	22.7	78	22.6	25	19.2	0	*	7	*	12	*
CUMBERLAND	514	25.5	230	26.8	176	21.7	4	*	24	28.6	80	35.6
CURRITUCK	30	19.4	29	21.2	1	*	0	*	0	*	0	*
DARE	78	37.3	63	34.8	0	*	0	*	1	*	14	*
DAVIDSON	180	17.0	126	14.9	14	*	0	*	6	*	34	41.7
DAVIE	52	20.4	45	20.7	2	*	0	*	1	*	4	*
DUPLIN	109	29.5	24	13.5	17	*	0	*	0	*	68	69.2
DURHAM	809	36.8	378	42.1	215	25.3	2	*	64	49.5	150	48.1
EDGECOMBE	53	16.4	15	*	23	12.4	1	*	1	*	13	*
FORSYTH	636	26.6	316	24.8	135	19.8	3	*	37	41.8	145	42.3
FRANKLIN	109	26.8	70	26.3	22	21.5	0	*	1	*	16	*
GASTON	290	19.9	202	19.1	50	19.8	0	*	9	*	29	26.7
GATES	14	*	9	*	4	*	0	*	0	*	1	*
GRAHAM	2	*	1	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	0	*
GRANVILLE	88	23.8	52	24.0	22	19.8	0	*	1	*	13	*
GREENE	27	23.5	5	*	3	*	0	*	2	*	17	*
GUILFORD	949	27.4	446	27.7	294	22.4	5	*	87	41.0	117	37.6
HALIFAX	54	18.9	20	18.9	28	17.8	0	*	4	*	2	*
HARNETT	218	25.0	128	23.7	31	16.5	2	*	8	*	49	43.0
HAYWOOD	64	18.9	54	17.0	1	*	0	*	1	*	8	*
HENDERSON	131	20.1	95	18.0	3	*	0	*	3	*	30	36.5
HERTFORD	21	17.3	8	*	10	*	0	*	0	*	3	*
HOKE	102	27.9	46	31.8	33	25.8	5	*	2	*	16	*
HYDE	6	*	2	*	1	*	0	*	0	*	3	*
IREDELL	261	22.8	199	23.2	17	*	0	*	13	*	32	32.4
JACKSON	32	15.2	23	13.6	0	*	6	*	0	*	3	*
JOHNSTON	363	26.0	233	24.2	43	19.7	3	*	7	*	77	41.7
JONES	10	*	6	*	2	*	0	*	0	*	2	*
LEE	109	28.9	42	20.3	20	24.9	0	*	2	*	45	56.0
LENOIR	81	24.5	29	18.9	30	20.7	0	*	1	*	21	77.2

\*TECHNICAL NOTE: RATES BASED ON SMALL NUMBERS (FEWER THAN 20 CASES) ARE UNSTABLE AND ARE NOT REPORTED

**2015 NC RESIDENT FERTILITY RATES:  
FEMALES AGES 35-44 BY RACE/ETHNICITY, PERINATAL CARE REGIONS, AND COUNTY OF RESIDENCE**

	Total Births	Fertility Rate	White Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Af. Am. Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Am. Ind. Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Other Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate
LINCOLN	115	20.8	90	19.2	6	*	1	*	2	*	16	*
MCDOWELL	44	16.2	35	14.5	0	*	0	*	1	*	8	*
MACON	37	22.4	28	19.1	0	*	0	*	2	*	7	*
MADISON	20	16.0	18	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	2	*
MARTIN	21	16.0	11	*	7	*	0	*	0	*	3	*
MECKLENBURG	2,774	34.1	1,346	37.4	675	23.6	6	*	214	39.9	533	47.6
MITCHELL	14	*	11	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	3	*
MONTGOMERY	29	17.4	12	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	12	*
MOORE	169	30.3	118	28.5	21	28.0	2	*	11	*	17	*
NASH	117	19.9	55	20.1	34	13.2	1	*	4	*	23	52.9
NEW HANOVER	416	29.7	328	30.2	39	20.3	3	*	10	*	36	42.9
NORTHAMPTON	18	*	11	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	2	*
ONSLow	255	27.5	166	26.4	42	27.6	1	*	22	56.7	24	22.9
ORANGE	308	36.2	205	36.4	24	24.5	0	*	50	48.2	29	34.2
PAMLICO	6	*	3	*	2	*	0	*	0	*	1	*
PASQUOTANK	55	24.0	26	20.3	21	27.1	0	*	3	*	5	*
PENDER	96	26.0	74	25.7	8	*	0	*	1	*	13	*
PERQUIMANS	9	*	8	*	1	*	0	*	0	*	0	*
PERSON	43	17.7	27	16.1	10	*	0	*	1	*	5	*
PITT	290	26.6	168	30.4	83	19.8	0	*	11	*	28	36.6
POLK	11	*	9	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	2	*
RANDOLPH	193	21.1	114	16.1	8	*	1	*	8	*	62	49.1
RICHMOND	47	16.4	24	14.7	15	*	0	*	3	*	5	*
ROBESON	154	17.8	30	14.9	28	14.0	58	15.2	4	*	34	45.3
ROCKINGHAM	89	15.9	53	13.1	19	*	1	*	1	*	15	*
ROWAN	147	17.4	92	15.2	20	14.6	0	*	3	*	32	36.8
RUTHERFORD	63	15.8	47	14.0	5	*	0	*	0	*	11	*
SAMPSON	95	23.8	33	16.1	16	*	2	*	1	*	43	52.0
SCOTLAND	32	15.6	13	*	11	*	4	*	2	*	2	*
STANLY	60	17.5	42	14.9	11	*	0	*	2	*	5	*
STOKES	51	18.3	47	18.2	1	*	0	*	1	*	2	*

\*TECHNICAL NOTE: RATES BASED ON SMALL NUMBERS (FEWER THAN 20 CASES) ARE UNSTABLE AND ARE NOT REPORTED

**2015 NC RESIDENT FERTILITY RATES:  
FEMALES AGES 35-44 BY RACE/ETHNICITY, PERINATAL CARE REGIONS, AND COUNTY OF RESIDENCE**

	Total Births	Fertility Rate	White Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Af. Am. Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Am. Ind. Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Other Non-Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate	Hispanic Births	Fertility Rate
<b>SURRY</b>	75	16.6	46	12.2	2	*	0	*	2	*	25	44.2
<b>SWAIN</b>	18	*	14	*	0	*	4	*	0	*	0	*
<b>TRANSYLVANIA</b>	32	19.7	29	19.8	0	*	0	*	1	*	2	*
<b>TYRRELL</b>	5	*	2	*	2	*	0	*	0	*	1	*
<b>UNION</b>	463	27.6	301	25.1	48	23.8	3	*	26	37.2	85	42.4
<b>VANCE</b>	48	17.8	12	*	21	15.1	0	*	3	*	12	*
<b>WAKE</b>	2,808	34.6	1,660	36.1	518	28.1	8	*	258	34.0	364	41.0
<b>WARREN</b>	22	22.7	6	*	11	*	1	*	1	*	3	*
<b>WASHINGTON</b>	6	*	3	*	1	*	0	*	0	*	2	*
<b>WATAUGA</b>	63	26.6	57	26.1	0	*	0	*	4	*	2	*
<b>WAYNE</b>	181	24.3	83	21.5	45	18.5	0	*	4	*	49	50.5
<b>WILKES</b>	84	20.8	59	16.6	2	*	0	*	2	*	21	71.9
<b>WILSON</b>	101	19.4	38	16.4	33	15.5	0	*	2	*	28	45.5
<b>YADKIN</b>	32	14.5	20	10.7	1	*	0	*	0	*	11	*
<b>YANCEY</b>	14	*	12	*	0	*	0	*	0	*	2	*

\*TECHNICAL NOTE: RATES BASED ON SMALL NUMBERS (FEWER THAN 20 CASES) ARE UNSTABLE AND ARE NOT REPORTED